



TENSIONS OF EUROPE/INVENTING EUROPE

WORKING PAPER NR. 2010_17

TITLE: Microelectronics under Socialism
AUTHOR: Frank Dittmann
DATE: May 2010
KEYWORDS: microelectronics, GDR, Comecon
CONTACT: Frank Dittmann, Deutsches Museum, Munich
f.dittmann@deutsches-museum.de

ABSTRACT: A key area in which the two Super Powers during the Cold War fought their economic battle was the field of microelectronics and computer technology. In the Detente period of the 1970s legal trading contacts were formed allowing high tech Western products into the USSR and other COMECON countries.¹ Around 1980 this situation radically changed because after being elected Ronald Reagan tightened the embargo conditions. One reason was the movement of Red Army troops into Afghanistan in December 1979 and the threatening intervention of the Soviet Union in Poland after the sharpening of the political situation there. The Reagan Administration considered high technology as an important competitive field in which the USA and the West could outpace the East.

With the tightened embargo the flow of technology into the East allowing large-scale integration dropped off. In the mid 1980s only the USSR and GDR tried to continue their development of a semiconductor industry because only these COMECON countries had the ability to work in semiconductor highest integration. This paper is focused on these two countries and discusses examples of illegal technology transfer from West to East in the 1980s. At a more global level the first story deals with the flow of high tech equipment for VLSI chip production into the USSR,² while the second example tells a more detailed story about the attempted development of a microelectronic industry in the GDR capable of producing VLSI circuits.

¹ COMECON: Council for Mutual Economic Assistance; 1949 founded as an economic organisation of Eastern European countries, 1991 dissolved.

² VLSI (Very Large Scale Integrated) describes memory circuits with a capability up to 1 megabit or 32-bit microprocessors, meaning a component density between 10,000 to 1 Mill. transistors on a chip.

1 Player in the global secret war

In the Soviet Union the transfer of information and technology was controlled by a specific branch of the political leadership – this being the Presidency of Soviet Council of Ministers with its Military Industrial Commission (VPK: Voенно-Promyshlennaia kommissiia).³ The VPK consisted of representatives from the Ministry of Defence and top managers from the Military Industrial Complex and was responsible for coordinating the development of all Soviet armament. Additionally the VPK organised the collection of information about Western technologies – all questions regarding Western knowledge were sorted by priority and then directed to the appropriate acquirement institutions. Other parts of this organisation are shown in Fig. 1.

Within KGB Department T more than 500 scientifically and technologically trained employees worked on the conspirative acquisition of technology. This acquisition was facilitated by a worldwide network of employees who worked as diplomats, traders, and journalists inside embassies, consulates, trading offices, and branches of Soviet state companies like Aeroflot. The GRU also had its own operational science and technology section, which dealt largely with armament technologies. The Soviet secret services worked – like any other secret service – using a combination of both covert and overt methods and also had the support and assistance of Eastern European secret services.

³

Soviet Acquisition of Western Technology. U.S. Government PB 82-213083. Washington/DC, April 1982; Soviet Acquisition of Militarily Significant Western Technology: An Update. Springfield/Va. 1985; Alexander, A., Soviet science and weapon acquisition. Santa Monica/Ca. 1982 (R-2942-NAS).




Fig. 1: Organisation of transfer of knowledge and technology into the Soviet Union⁴

Immediately after World War II, Western secret services began to monitor the activities of their Eastern counterparts as a process that continued for the duration of the Cold War period. Following pressure from the Reagan Administration the COCOM⁵ list was tightened at the beginning of the 1980s. In the USA new departments were created to combat illegal technology transfer: at the CIA the 'Technology Transfer Intelligence Committee', and at the Department of Defence the 'Technology Security Administration' came into being. The US Department of Trade increased the number of its investigation personnel and the US Customs formed the special group 'Operation Exodus'.⁶

2 Procurement of equipment for chip production in USSR

Global interest in microelectronics took off in the 1970s and 1980s and this interest was as evident in the USSR as anywhere else. The Soviet Union and

⁴ Soviet Acquisition of Militarily Significant Western Technology: An Update. Springfield/Va. 1985; p. 3.

⁵ COCOM (Coordinating Committee for Multilateral Export Control), founded in 1949, was a voluntary consolidation of all NATO countries and Japan to control and observe exports of military and strategic importance. Mastanduno, M., Economic containment: CoCom and the politics of East-West trade. Ithaca 1992.

⁶ Tuck, J.; Liebl, K. (Ed.), Direktorat T. Heidelberg 1988, p. 20 and p. 37.

other COMECON countries were able to legally procure a huge amount of integrated circuitry on the world market – by the end of the 1980s 100 Million chips came into the Soviet Union annually by official means. In addition to this prior to 1980 the USSR had legally bought several hundred tons of high pure silicon, mainly from the USA, the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), and from Japan. This material allowed the domestic production of circuits within Soviet industry, however, due to the tightening of the COCOM list in the early 1980s the sale of silicon was prohibited causing the Soviets to procure this essential commodity by illegal methods.

However, the key issue of this technology transfer lay in production machines and equipment – an excellent example of this is given with the VLSI (Very Large Scale Integrated) and the VHSIC (Very High Speed Integrated Circuits) technology.⁷ Because of their higher component density both types of chips were much smaller and lighter, more efficient and reliable, energy saving and faster than previous circuits – this technology was used principally for memory chips and high performance microprocessors. For example, with these chips it was possible to reduce the amount of circuits in the technologically advanced American F15 fighter aircraft from nearly 5,000 down to 40 – creating a weight reduction from 25 kg to 1.5 kg. The Soviets could not allow NATO to possess such a technological advantage and obviously wanted to possess and mirror this type of technology in order to maintain parity in the arms race. However, due to their inability to develop it by their own means they had to acquire the necessary technology in the West, legally if possible, and if not – by spying. The Soviet spying organisation responsible for obtaining Western technology often made use of Western businessmen. At first the business of acquisition had been legal but as the percentage of profit increased for the businessmen as well as did the degree of illegal technology transfer. An example of this type of illegal business with computers and technological equipment for chip production is provided by the so-called Mueller affair.⁸ The FRG citizen Richard Mueller possessed around one hundred companies worldwide and at the beginning of the 1980s he accumulated high tech devices – mainly VHSIC production technology – to a value of 8 million US Dollars for his Soviet partners – all bought through his network of companies. But his smuggling was soon discovered: In November 1982 a Swedish transport ship carrying prohibited technology was scheduled to move from Cape Town (South Africa) via Hamburg (FRG) and Helsingborg (Sweden) to Moscow. But in Hamburg custom officers of FRG and USA stormed the ship and three containers full of embargoed goods were taken ashore – in Helsingborg another four containers were confiscated. This bounty was presented a short time later by US Secretary of Defence Caspar Weinberger at a press conference in Washington. Despite the international profile of this case it ended in a less spectacular manner at the District Court in Luebeck (FRG). Because of the disappearance of Mueller only three of his employees were convicted. But not only dubious individuals dealt with embargoed equipment. For example, due to the involvement of one of its European branches in the Mueller affair, the US computer company Digital Equipment Corporation (DEC) had to pay a fine of 1.2 million US Dollars in order not to lose its US

⁷ Tuck, J., High-Tech Espionage. New York 1986

⁸ Paine, L., Silicon Spies. New York 1986, pp. 63-70

export license. Other Western companies were less 'noisily' involved, e.g. the Swedish company Data Saab voluntarily paid one million US Dollars to prevent itself from being placed on the blacklist.

Autumn 1982 saw the defection of a KGB General to France and this shed light on exactly how widespread illegal technology transfer had become. The General brought with him 4000 top-secret documents, several of them carrying handwritten notices from the Soviet party leader Leonid Brezhnev. The evaluation of this material took over three years and after analysis was complete it was evident that during the 10th Five Year Plan (1976 to 1980) over 3500 strategically developed devices were smuggled from the West into the USSR. By all accounts this saved the Warsaw Pact arms industry up to 2.24 Billion US Dollars in development costs.⁹

After this disclosure the US administration put massive pressure on neutral states such as Austria, Switzerland, and Sweden to increase the embargo protection. Western secret services went as far as founding their own dummy firms to study the ways and methods in which high technology equipment could be delivered beyond the Iron Curtain. Whole networks of informants observed airports and suspicious transport companies and the US Customs special group 'Operation Exodus' supported their European colleagues in raids should intelligence pay off.

Subsequently the number of high technology deliveries into the Soviet Union decreased and prices climbed drastically. Around 1985 the Soviets had adjusted to this new situation and while it had become more difficult to use Western high tech smugglers in the neutral countries of Austria, Switzerland, and Sweden, the operations were moved to the Mediterranean countries, the Far East and the Third World. Furthermore the Soviet secret services recruited help from their counterparts in Hungary, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria, and GDR. An example of this shift in focus away from Europe is shown in the spring of 1985 – on a route passing through Malta, Cyprus, Istanbul, and Thessalonica several VAX 780 computers, camouflaged as office machinery, found their way to the Bulgarian capital Sofia. The FRG citizen Walter Bruchhausen was responsible for this operation – he was subsequently arrested in 1985 in London and in May 1987 was sentenced by the Federal Court of Los Angeles (USA) to 15 years prison and was additionally fined the sum of 15,000 US Dollars.¹⁰

3 Microelectronics made in GDR

In contrast to the Soviet Union the GDR was poor in terms of raw materials and had to sell industrial products on the world market – traditionally, the GDR offered tool, press, textile, polygraphic, and other industrial machines. However, as technology advanced Western companies demanded modern control equipment and for that reason the GDR had to buy Western control components – thereby diminishing its profits enormously. In reaction to this the GDR political leadership tried to develop a national microelectronics industry. High technology was seen as an aid to assist the stabilisation of the

⁹ Hanson, Ph.: Soviet Industrial Espionage. In: Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists April 1987, pp. 22-29

¹⁰ Tuck, J.; Liebl, K. (Ed.), Direktorat T. Heidelberg 1988, pp. 20- 37

political system in GDR with regard to both economics and ideology.¹¹ The difficulties involved in implementing this plan increased when it became evident that GDR could not hope for help from the Soviet Union or other COMECON countries. Soviet success in microelectronics was limited to the military industrial complex rather than the trade sector and for security reasons the USSR did not deliver any information about this 'closed field'. In the instances when Soviet equipment was received by the GDR microelectronics industry, it became obvious that these machines could produce integrated circuits but not in an economic manner. In this 'hopeless' situation the GDR leadership decided to develop its own microelectronic industry. The scale of this task is made evident by an SED internal analysis at the end of the 1970s showing that the East German semiconductor technology lagged behind most developed countries. For analogue ICs the gap was between four and eight years, for digital semiconductors and microprocessors six to seven years, and for special technological equipment up to nine years. The productivity of the equipment was a tenth, or in the best case a third, that in the West but the costs were as high as five times more.¹² Although the internal circle of the Central Committee was aware of the enormity of this challenge, it believed in 1977 that an accelerated extension of the microelectronics industry of the GDR would be possible. At that time the SED strategists believed that it would be possible to organise a good cooperation within COMECON. However, firstly they seriously underestimated the effects of the US embargo policy and overestimated the opportunities and abilities of the GDR secret service to acquire special equipment in defiance of the embargo. The idea of "microelectronic made in GDR" became more and more problematic – one reason was the permanent lack of foreign currency and another being the increasing US embargo policy. Nevertheless, GDR industry needed technological equipment and – similar to the USSR – a special branch of the secret service was created with the mission of getting the necessary equipment. This practice created further specific difficulties. For example, only secret service members could negotiate with Western businessmen and their technological knowledge was often less than ideal. This lack of specialist knowledge led to the purchase of the wrong kind of equipment or failure to meet purchase deadlines. Additionally, for protection of the country of origin and manufacturer of the machines they had to be 'neutralised'. That meant all hints showing the original producer or the way by which they had come into the GDR had to be erased, this concerned not only name or type plates but also all manuals!

¹¹ A very good overview is given in: Barkleit, G., *Mikroelektronik in der DDR. SED, Staatsapparat und Staatssicherheit im Wettstreit der Systeme*, Dresden 2000; see also: Macrakis, K., *Espionage and Technology Transfer in the Quest for Scientific-Technical Prowess*, in: Macrakis, K.; Hoffmann, D., (Ed.), *Science under Socialism*. Cambridge/Mass., London 1999, pp. 82-121; Strokes, R. G., *Contracting Socialism. Technology and Change in East Germany 1945-1990*. Baltimore 2000, pp. 93-109, pp. 161-176

¹² Müller, G., *Die Politik der SED zur Herausbildung und Entwicklung der Mikroelektronikindustrie der DDR im Rahmen der oekonomischen Strategie zur Durchsetzung der intensiv erweiterten Reproduktion (1976 bis 1985)*, Akademie für Gesellschaftswissenschaften beim ZK der SED, Dissertation B 1989, p. 15

Although highly qualified staff worked in the research laboratories on high technology equipment, most of the memory ICs were replicas of Western variants. For that reason, no development process could be started without having at least one Western chip. However, often it was impossible to buy a single chip and therefore it was frequently necessary to procure an expensive machine containing the specific chip. After removing it, the logic was analysed. The following development of technology meant to find a way to mass-produce it with technological equipment existing in GDR factories. But with memory ICs approaching megabit capacity miniaturisation reached such a level that replication was more expensive than developing it oneself.




Fig. 2:
Part of the aluminium layer of a chip by the company Digital Corporation¹³

Western competitors suspected this practice. For example a GDR chip analyst once read the following sentence in raw Russian on a processor chip from the US firm Digital Corporation: 'When do you want to stop to swipe. Own design is better.' (Fig. 2).¹⁴

With increasing component density the replication of a chip took too much time and GDR tried to obtain licenses.¹⁵ Although the embargo regulations did not allow official license transactions the GDR found secret license givers, for

¹³ BstU, Aussenstelle Erfurt, Abt. XVIII, Nr. 13, Bl. 70, The author wishes to thank Gerhard Barkleit, Dresden, for his references and these picture.

¹⁴ Barkleit, G., Mikroelektronik in der DDR, Dresden 2000, p. 33

¹⁵ Macrakis, K., Espionage and Technology Transfer ... pp. 113-117

example, the Japanese company Toshiba. But in order to protect Toshiba, the production process for the 64-kilobit dDRAM had to be modified. This led to production complications in the Thuringian high tech city Erfurt – despite the fact that Toshiba had made the complete documentation of the modified circuit available. However, after the settlement of the economic conflict between Japan and the USA in July 1986 Toshiba had to break off its relations with the Erfurt factory.

Despite the technical time lag in comparison to the most developed industrial countries in the West, East Germany was one of the few countries in the world actually working in this field. Therefore to make the most of their technology the concept was raised to sell this technology to less developed countries. A top-secret paper from December 1988 mentioned the following countries as partners with substantial interests in factories or laboratories: Poland, Brazil, USSR, Czechoslovakia, and China as well as enquiries from India, Iran, and Scandinavia. However, the project failed because it was vetoed by the secret service. If the GDR had to deliver complete factories or laboratories that contained components which were not produced in COMECON and which were possessed and utilised only after circumventing the US embargo, this would reveal the deficiencies within the GDR microelectronic development industry – not to mention industrial espionage.¹⁶

4 Conclusion

The great effort to maintain the strategic balance during the Cold War created the circumstances to require illegal technology transfer. The main difference between the two cited examples is as follows – the Soviet Union stressed the importance of technology for use in the arms race with NATO, whereas the GDR tried to use technology to overcome its national economic crises in the 1980s. Additionally, technology transfer by legal or illegal means led directly to the following point: After the end of the Cold War there were few differences in the depth of knowledge of information technology between East and West due to the simple fact that Eastern specialists used computers and programs similar or even equal to Western ones – and had done so for years. That meant that while the Western computer industry set the global standards with its products and innovations; trading companies, Eastern secret services, Western high tech smugglers and others involved in technology transfer allowed the creation of a 'level playing field' where all specialists, regardless of origin, used essentially the same technology.

¹⁶ Barkleit, G., Mikroelektronik in der DDR, Dresden 2000, p. 113-116